105.1637

Case - Timothy N. Pfeiffer, Subject - Homicide, New Brunswick, N.J., DEM / 5, pel, Investigation - Mr. DeM.,

## Information secured during the week beginning January 15, 1923.

I proceeded to New Brunswick, N.J., and interviewed Mr. Sipel with reference to the letter he wrote to Mrs. Hall, asking that he be compensated for the time he lost while he was called as a witness before the Grand Jury.

Mr. Sipel thought his testimony was instrumental in causing the Grand Jury not to indict Mrs. Hall, and that the least she could do was to compensate him for his trouble - He said that he had to place a man to take charge of his cattle, and that two of the cattle went bad on him, causing him a loss of about \$150.00. He said no doubt Mrs. Hall could show her appreciation if she so desired.

I informed Mr. Sipel that Mrs. Hall did appreciate that he had the moral courage to go before the Grand Jury and give testimony, but on the other hand, Mr. Pfeiffer did not think it was advisable for Mrs. Hall to reimburse any witnesses, for the reason that a reconstruction would be had, and no doubt it might create a rumor that Mrs. Hall was using her money for the purpose of buying up witnesses.

meals a day and if she cares to make him a present, all well and good, if not, he will get along the same as he used to. He said that there was a rumor that well had paid Mr. Beekman, \$20,000. - Also that he had been bribed in giving the testimony that he did before the Grand Jury - Also that he is much surprised that the District Attorney of Somerset county did not indict Mrs. Gibson. He said if you check up the story she told Mrs. Berry and the story she told Dr. Cooper, you will find discrepancies. To Mrs. Berry, Mrs. Gibson said her reasons for returning to DeRussey's Lane was that when she went home, after hearing the cries, she (Gibson) said, "Maybe it is some poor girl that is being attacked, I will go back and help her," and upon arriving there she